The Preparation and Characterization of Electro Spun Poly Vinylidene Fluoride Fibers

Xinghan Wang

Abstract- Polyvinylidene fluoride(PVDF) is widely used in scientific research and manufacturing due to its unique properties. In the past decade, many PVDF polymorphic polymers have been prepared by classic electrospinning with various solvents, and the products have been extensively studied and documented. Different solvents have been noticed being greatly influencial on the properties of those prepared PVDF fibers. Therefore, we used ACE and DMF solvents to prepare three different PVDF materials, analyzed the micromorphology of PVDF materials prepared using different solvents by SEM, and used XRD and FTIR vibration bands to identify the α , β and γ phases in the structure.

Index Terms—Polyvinylidene fluoride, electrospinning.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years electrospinning (ES) is known as a typical flexible methodto prepare polymer-based fibers^[1]. The versatile applications for fibers electrospun include water-oil filtration^[2], pollutantsabsorption and catalysis^{[3][4]}, drug control release^[5], wound dressings^[6], parts of artificial organs^[7], fabricated supercapacitors[8], etc. While there are several novel ES techniques(Co-axial, emulsion, melt)^{[9][10][11]}that could form products hard to create via classical solution ES process, using benign solvents for polymer and charges the liquid with aconsistent high voltage is still expected as a convenient and simple way to produce non-woven fabric inside the lab.

Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) nanofiber materialshas been proven to be an economical composite material which has the characteristics of general resin and fluororesin, additional to good high temperature resistance, chemical corrosion resistance, oxidation resistance, radiation resistance, and weather resistance, it also has special properties such as piezoelectricity, dielectricity, and thermoelectricity.PVDF is tolerant to many common organic solvents due to its high degree of fluorination. The most commonly used organic solvents for preparing PVDF electrospinning solutions include DMF and ACE, as well as mixtures of DMF and ACE in different proportions. In addition, since the discovery of piezoelectricity in PVDF, at least five different allomorphs have been constructed depending on the molecular chain conformation: α (TGTG', form II), β (TTTT, form I), γ (T3GT3G', form III), $\delta,$ and $\varepsilon^{[12]}$. The diverse performance of PVDF is closely related to its complex and changeable crystal structure, and these various crystal forms can be transformed into each other under certain conditions. Among these crystal forms α , β , and γ form are generally concerned. The α phase is the one commonly obtained directly from PVDF, the *β*phase presents outstanding electrical characteristics including piezo-, pyro-and ferroelectric properties, while γ -PVDF is the only viable PVDF form for high-challenging environments. Based on this, this experiment selected DMF and ACE as solvents to prepare different PVDF membrane materials through electrospinning, and explored their performance differences through characterization.

Wang X, College of Chemical and Environment, Southwest Minzu University, Chengdu, China

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

PVDF powder ($Mw = 100000 \text{ gmol}^{-1}$) were purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd.Acetone (ACE) and N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased fromTianjin ZhiyuanChemical Co., Ltd. All chemicals were used asreceived. *Electrospinning*

20% (*w/w*) PVDF powder were dissolved in ACE, DMF, and ACE-DMF mixture at 1:1solvent ratio. After that the solution were all loaded into 10 mL plastic syringes with 2mm steel syringe needle as the spinneret and then placed on a syringe pump with a feed rate of 0.5mL \cdot h⁻¹. A high-voltage supplier was connected to the syringe needle and a stainless cylindrical collectorwas used to collect the products. The applied voltage and the tip-to-collector distance were 20kV and 16 cm, adjusted and fixed during the experiment.

Characterization of material

Electrospun PVDF fibers were scanned using scanning electron microscopy(SEM,Thermo scientific Apreo 2C) to detect the surface morphology, with fiber diameter measured using image analysis software (ImageJ). Nanofiber samples were sputtered with platinum before analysis.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) of PVDF fibers were provided on a diffractometer(Persee XD-6), undera 0.154 nm wavelengthand scan step speed $4^{\circ} \cdot \min^{-1}X$ -ray (Cu). The accelerating voltage had been setto36 kV, the tube current was 20 mA, and the power was 2 kw for characterization.Samples were scanned in the 2 θ range of 5° to 80°.Fourier transform infrared of the fibers were measured ona Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (Nicoletis 50FTIR).Dried nanofiber membranewas put into a cleaned agate mortar, with KBr powder added and grinded together until the complete membrane structure cannot be discerned by the human eye. Used the same tableting method to press the mixture into translucent discs. The collection range was set to 4,000~400 cm⁻¹, the resolution was 4 cm⁻¹, and the scans were performed 20 times for each sample.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microscopic morphology

The obtained SEM micrographs of the samples are shown in Figure 1. The images show that the size distribution of electrospun fibers using DMF and a mixed solution of ACE and DMF as solvents is uniform, while the single fibers with ACE as solvent are incomplete and appear to be in an adhesion state and can be further investigated under high-resolution SEM of 5000 and 10,000 times. It also can be seen that the fiber diameterincreases from ACE, DMF to their mixtureand the fiber size is also the most average in the mixed solution. The fiber surface of the fiber spun by the mixture of ACE and DMF is smoothand the fiber microstructure is relatively three-dimensional, ensuring a high compatibility and uniformity of the processed fiber material.SEM results show that the overall surface of the PVDF fiber membrane produced by electrospinning using a mixed solution of ACE and DMF as the solvent has a better morphology and is suitable for further modification.





Figure 1.SEM images of PVDF nanofibers with measured fiber diameters: (A),(D),(G): PVDF in ACE, (B),(E),(H): PVDF in DMF, (C),(F),(I): PVDF in ACE: DMF 1:1 mixture.

Physical characterization

XRD characterization of PVDF fibers spun using different solvents is shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that the sample fiber using ACE as the solvent has two strong peaks at 18.4 and 20.2° and a weak peak at 26.8°, corresponding to the 020, 110 reflections of the monoclinic α phase crystal and the 110/200 reflection of the orthorhombic β phase^[13]. The sample fiber with DMF as solvent only has a strong diffraction peak at 20.2°, indicating that β phase is dominant in the structure, which may be due to the large mechanical elongation and strong electric field during the electrospinning process so that the PVDF nanofibers prepared by electrospinning all tend to be in β phase^[14]. XRD of the mixed solvent-based membrane shows a medium peak at 18.7° and a strong peak at 20.2° , which are the diffraction peaks on the (020) and (110/101) planes of the monoclinic γ phase crystal, and the trace of the 110/200 reflection peak of the orthorhombic β phase, indicating that the crystalline phases are mainly β phase and γ phase^[15]. Previously, there were some reports describing the formation of γ phase by electrospinning $^{[16]}$



Figure 2. XRD patterns of PVDF nanofiberselectrospun within different solvents.

Figure 3 shows the FTIR spectrum of electrospun PVDF fibers that highly consistent with the XRD results. Depending on the solvent, there are vibration peaks of different intensities of O-H and N-H at 3463 cm⁻¹, a common C-H vibration peak at 3019 cm⁻¹, and a weak peak at 2375 cm⁻¹ that can be attributed to CO₂ in the air and the N=C peak of DMF^[17]. Similarly, the presence of ACE and DMF leads to the appearance of a weak C=O peak at 1762

 cm^{-1} attributed to ACE and the O-H peak at 1612 cm^{-1} attributed to $DMF^{[18][19]}$.

In addition, the CF₂ characteristic peaks of PVDF are concentrated at 1500 to 400 cm⁻¹. All materials represent a strong β phase, which can be seen from the peaks at 1403, 1183, 840, and 485 cm⁻¹ shared by the three materials^[20]. The PVDF membranes obtained by electrospinning with ACE and DMFassolvents alone also have traces of the α phase, because the materials obtained by dissolving in ACE have strong peaks (a phase characteristics) at 758 and 613 cm^{-1} , while the DMF group has weaker peaks of the same characteristics; the common characteristics of the mixed solution spinning membrane and DMF are a clear γ phase-specific peak at 1285 cm⁻¹, and some characteristic bands of the β phase can be identified at 517 cm⁻¹, while the α phase characteristic peak becomes weaker^[21]. In general, the crystalline phase of the membranes obtained by ACE solvent spinning is mainly β phase, but also shows strong α phase signals, while the other two groups of membranes with better morphology are mainly β phase, and also have some characteristic bands of the γ phase.



Figure 3. FT-IR results of different PVDF nanofibers.

IV. CONCLUSION

This experiment used three different commonly used PVDF electrospinning solvents to prepare PVDF electrospinning samples, and compared and summarized the SEM, XRD, and FT-IR vibration bands of the samples reported in the literature and actually obtained. By comparing the microscopic morphology of different samples and the characteristic peaks of different bands, it can be found that the sample fibers obtained by electrospinning PVDF using a solvent mixed in a certain proportion have a more suitable β phase and γ phase as a composite material matrix instead of a strong α phase, and have more uniform microscopic morphology characteristics, thereby verifying the feasibility of the comprehensive procedure for preparing materials using a 1:1 mixed solvent of ACE and DMF, providing a simple method for preparing high-performance PVDF polymers.

REFERENCES

- Syed MH, Khan MMR, Zahari MAKM, Beg MDH, Abdullah N. A review on current trends and future prospectives of electrospun biopolymeric nanofibers for biomedical applications. European Polymer Journal. 2023;197:112352.
- [2] Arrosyid BH, Zulfi A, Nur'aini S, Hartati S, Rafryanto AF, Noviyanto A, et al. High-Efficiency Water Filtration by Electrospun Expanded Polystyrene Waste Nanofibers. ACS Omega. 2023;8(26):23664–23672.
- [3] Abdulhamid MA, Muzamil K. Recent progress on electrospun nanofibrous polymer membranes for water and air purification: A review. Chemosphere. 2023;310:136886.
- [4] Cao W, Zhang M, Ma W, Huang C. Multifunctional electrospun nanofibrous membrane: An effective method for water purification. Separation and Purification Technology. 2023;327:124952.



- [5] Zhang Z, Liu H, Yu D-G, Bligh S-WA. Alginate-Based Electrospun Nanofibers and the Enabled Drug Controlled Release Profiles: A Review. Biomolecules. 2024;14(7):789.
- [6] Zhang X, Wang Y, Gao Z, Mao X, Cheng J, Huang L, et al. Advances in wound dressing based on electrospinning nanofibers. Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 2023;141(1).
- [7] Zulkifli MZA, Nordin D, Shaari N, Kamarudin SK. Overview of Electrospinning for Tissue Engineering Applications. Polymers. 2023;15(11):2418.
- [8] Pullanchiyodan A, Joy R, Sreeram P, Raphael LR, Das A, Balakrishnan NTM, et al. Recent advances in electrospun fibers based on transition metal oxides for supercapacitor applications: a review. Energy Advances. 2023;2(7):922–947.
- [9] Khan J, Khan A, Khan MQ, Khan H. Applications of co-axial electrospinning in the biomedical field. Next Materials. 2024;3:100138.
- [10] Ghosh S, Yadav A, Gurave PM, Srivastava RK. Unique Fiber Morphologies from Emulsion Electrospinning—A Case Study of Poly(ε-caprolactone) and Its Applications. Colloids and Interfaces. 2023;7(1):19.
- [11] Lim J, Choi S, Kim HS. Behavior of melt electrospinning/blowing for polypropylene fiber fabrication. Polymer International. 2022;72(1):120–125.
- [12] Taleb S, Badillo-Ávila MA, Acuautla M. Fabrication of poly (vinylidene fluoride) films by ultrasonic spray coating; uniformity and piezoelectric properties. Materials & amp; Design. 2021;212:110273.
- [13] Biswas A, Henkel K, Schmeißer D, Mandal D. Comparison of the thermal stability of the α , β and γ phases in poly(vinylidene fluoride) based on in situ thermal Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Phase Transitions. 2017;:1–9.
- [14] Gade H, Nikam S, Chase GG, Reneker DH. Effect of electrospinning conditions on β -phase and surface charge potential of PVDF fibers. Polymer. 2021;228:123902.
- [15] Lei T, Zhu P, Cai X, Yang L, Yang F. Electrospinning of PVDF nanofibrous membranes with controllable crystalline phases. Applied Physics A. 2015;120(1):5–10.
- [16] Purushothaman SM, Tronco MF, Kottathodi B, Royaud I, Ponçot M, Kalarikkal N, et al. A review on electrospun PVDF-based nanocomposites: Recent trends and developments in energy harvesting and sensing applications. Polymer. 2023;283:126179.
- [17] Ochoa E, Henao W, Fuertes S, Torres D, van Haasterecht T, Scott E, et al. Synthesis and characterization of a supported Pd complex on carbon nanofibers for the selective decarbonylation of stearic acid to 1-heptadecene: the importance of subnanometric Pd dispersion. Catalysis Science & amp; Technology. 2020;10(9):2970–2985.
- [18] Ren Y-K, Liu S-D, Duan B, Xu Y-F, Li Z-Q, Huang Y, et al. Controllable intermediates by molecular self-assembly for optimizing the fabrication of large-grain perovskite films via one-step spin-coating. Journal of Alloys and Compounds. 2017;705:205–210.
- [19] Barros LP, Canevarolo SV, Klein D, Maia J. On-line ATR-MIR for real-time quantification of chemistry kinetics along the barrel in extrusion-based processes. Polymer Testing. 2021;103:107350.
- [20] Mohamadi S. Preparation and Characterization of PVDF/PMMA/Graphene Polymer Blend Nanocomposites by Using ATR-FTIR Technique. Infrared Spectroscopy - Materials Science, Engineering and Technology. 2012. doi:10.5772/36497.
- [21] Cai X, Lei T, Sun D, Lin L. A critical analysis of the α , β and γ phases in poly(vinylidene fluoride) using FTIR. RSC Advances. 2017;7(25):15382–15389.

